

Nodal Finite Element de Rham Complexes

Snorre H. Christiansen,^{**}Jun Hu,^{††}Kaibo Hu^{‡‡}

Abstract

We construct 2D and 3D finite element de Rham sequences of arbitrary polynomial degree with extra smoothness. Some of these elements have nodal degrees of freedom (DoFs), and can be considered as generalisations of scalar Hermite and Lagrange elements. Using the nodal values, the number of global degrees of freedom is reduced compared with the classical Nédélec and Brezzi-Douglas-Marini (BDM) finite elements, and the basis functions are more canonical and easier to write. Our finite elements for $H(\text{div})$ with regularity $r = 2$ coincide with the nonstandard elements given by R. Stenberg [37].

We show how regularity decreases in the finite element complexes, so that they branch into known complexes. The standard de Rham complexes of Whitney forms and their higher order version can be considered as the family with lowest regularity. The construction of the new families is motivated by finite element systems (FES), and we also establish local exact sequences (geometric decomposition) for the new elements.

MSC: 65N30, 58A12.

1 Introduction

Differential complexes are an important tool in the study of finite element methods. Finite element differential complexes characterise finite element spaces and the operators among them, specifying their kernels and images, which are crucial for the stability of numerical formulations [1] and fast solvers [25]. There are many existing finite element differential complexes, for example the de Rham complex [3, 24], the Stokes complex [22, 33], the Darcy-Stokes complex [31, 38], the elasticity complex [2, 4] etc. Among them, the discrete de Rham sequence is probably the most fundamental one. Stokes complexes and Darcy-Stokes complexes have the same differential operators as the standard de Rham complexes, and the only difference is that the former spaces have more continuity.

There have been many discussions on finite element de Rham sequences. For incomplete polynomials, there are Nédélec elements of the first kind and the Raviart-Thomas elements. For complete polynomials, there are the Nédélec elements of the second kind and the Brezzi-Douglas-Marini (BDM) elements. All these successful elements can be unified as discrete differential forms [5, 23]. The construction of degrees of freedom for higher order Whitney forms is based on moments on subsimplexes,

^{**}Department of Mathematics, University of Oslo, PO Box 1053 Blindern, NO 0316 Oslo, Norway. email: snorre@math.uio.no.

^{††}LMAM and School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, P. R. China. email: hujun@math.pku.edu.cn

^{‡‡}Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research, Peking University, No. 5 Yiheyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing 100871 China P.R. email: kaibo@pku.edu.cn.

therefore the commuting interpolations can be constructed easily. A periodic table has been developed to include arbitrary polynomial degree for any k -forms, on simplicial and tensor product meshes in any dimension [8].

Such elements are usually called “vector elements”, and cannot be represented by Lagrange nodal basis functions. This leads to complications in applications, especially for high order methods.

A canonical nodal basis is attractive from the perspective of implementation. The choice of basis is not unique and there are several important criterions for a good basis, including condition number, sparsity of stiffness and mass matrices, efficient evaluation and rotational symmetry etc. There is a huge literature on the construction of bases for high order finite elements (hp methods). We refer to the book [30] for a survey of the scalar case. Bases for $H(\text{curl})$ and $H(\text{div})$ are more complicated. Some efforts in this direction can be found in [39]. The difficulty is that bubble functions of vector $H(\text{curl})$ and $H(\text{div})$ spaces are not as canonical as for scalar H^1 elements [13].

This has already been reflected in the dilemma of computational electromagnetism. On one hand, nodal Lagrange elements are desirable for their simplicity, economic degrees of freedom and point-wise evaluation; on the other hand, the C^0 vector Lagrange elements suffer from spurious modes and have difficulties in dealing with inhomogeneous material where the normal component of the electric field may be discontinuous at interfaces [11]. There has been an increased interest in the use of nodal elements in computational electromagnetism. A common strategy is to add a stabilisation term $-\text{grad div}$ in the variational formulation, besides the curl curl operator (c.f. [11]). In order to remove spurious modes in nonconvex domains, a weighted version of the penalty term was proposed in Costabel and Dauge [17], and Duan et al. [18] used a local projection in the penalty term. Minimal regularity was assumed in Bonito et al. [10]. Of course this is a rather incomplete review of the literature.

From the perspective of finite element exterior calculus, $H(\text{curl})$ edge elements stand out for allowing normal discontinuities and fitting in a discrete sequence of spaces. Therefore we are motivated to seek nonstandard finite element differential complexes keeping these properties, but with nodal type bases. Actually, our approach is to look for elements with higher continuity on low dimensional subsimplexes.

There are also some important circumstances where we need to match several copies of finite element de Rham sequences with different continuity. In Arnold, Falk and Winther [4], the authors constructed Arnold-Winther symmetric stress element in two space dimensions using the following Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand (BGG) resolution:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H_h^1 & \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} & H_h(\text{div}) & \xrightarrow{\text{div}} & L_h^2 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & \nearrow \xi_0 & & \nearrow \xi_1 & & & \\ (\tilde{H}_h^1)^2 & \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} & (\tilde{H}_h(\text{div}))^2 & \xrightarrow{\text{div}} & (\tilde{L}_h^2)^2 & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Here S_0 is bijective between the discrete spaces $(\tilde{H}_h^1)^2$ and $H_h(\text{div})$. Usually it is not easy to find a compatible element for both $(H^1)^2$ and $H(\text{div})$. Here compatibility means that this element should fit in both sequences, but for different operators. Therefore in the numerical discretisation, a compromise is to find finite element spaces such that $S_0^h = \Pi S_0$ is onto, where Π is a projection to $H_h(\text{div})$. This

leads to convergent finite elements with weak symmetry [6]. In order to obtain an element with strong symmetry, one has to find the isomorphic elements for both $\left(\tilde{H}_h^1\right)^2$ and $H_h(\text{div})$. In [4] the authors constructed such vector elements, to give an explanation of Arnold-Winther elasticity elements.

For conforming finite elements with local DoFs, S_0 imposes stronger continuity requirement on $H(\text{div})$ element, which actually leads to a Stokes complex. Therefore from the perspective of BGG, an essential difficulty of the construction of tensor-valued elements with strong symmetry (or other constraints, like trace-free property) is the construction and matching of vector valued complexes with different continuity. Details will be given in Section 5.

On the other hand, Hu et al. [26, 28, 29] designed a new element for linear elasticity with strong symmetry following a different approach. A two-step approach was proposed to design compatible elements and prove the inf-sup condition. The displacement space is divided into rigid body motion and its orthogonal complement. Rigid body motion is controlled by face functions, which are continuous, and its orthogonal complement is controlled by bubble functions on each element, which are local. The resulting space has a canonical nodal basis. However it remains open to understand this innovative approach, even their vector valued version, in the framework of finite element exterior calculus, which may give a more systematic construction for wider applications. And we also hope a systematic study could give a new perspective for the challenging problem of designing hp basis.

As a summary, we have several motivations to develop the new finite element de Rham complexes in this paper:

- obtaining smaller algebraic systems by elements with higher continuity, which was also the motivation of Stenberg [37],
- getting Lagrange or Hermite type nodal basis functions, which are more canonical and easier to write,
- making progress towards a systematic development of finite element complexes compatible with the BGG construction,
- developing tools of finite element exterior calculus to re-construct Hu-Zhang elasticity elements,
- a better understanding of the periodic table of finite element differential forms.

We will introduce r as a new regularity parameter in the finite element periodic table, which gives $\mathcal{P}_{r,p}\Lambda^k(\mathcal{T}_h^d)$ on d -dimensional simplicial mesh, for differential k forms with piecewise polynomials of degree p . Because of the periodicity, sometimes different values of r in $\mathcal{P}_{r,p}\Lambda^k(\mathcal{T}_h^d)$ may represent the same element. Our major results are summarised in Table 1 and Table 2.

Table 1: 2D families and notation, elements in each box are the same as for lowest regularity, $r = 0$ and $r = 1$ have the same 2D bubbles

	$k = 0$	$k = 1$	$k = 2$
$r = 0$	Lagrange $P_p\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$	BDM $P_{p-1}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$	DG $P_{p-2}\Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$
$r = 1$	Hermite $\mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$	Stenberg $\mathcal{P}_{1,p-1}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$	DG $\mathcal{P}_{1,p-2}\Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$
$r = 2$	Argyris $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$	vector Hermite $\mathcal{P}_{2,p-1}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$	Falk-Neilan $\mathcal{P}_{2,p-2}\Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$

Table 2: 3D families and notation, elements in each box are the same as for lowest regularity, $r = 0$ and $r = 1$ have the same 2D bubbles, and $r = 0, 1, 2$ have the same 3D bubbles

	$k = 0$	$k = 1$	$k = 2$	$k = 3$
$r = 0$	Lagrange $P_p \Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$	Nédélec $P_{p-1} \Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$	BDM $P_{p-2} \Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$	DG $P_{p-3} \Lambda^3(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$
$r = 1$	Hermite $\mathcal{P}_{1,p} \Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$	new, $\mathcal{P}_{1,p-1} \Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$	BDM $\mathcal{P}_{1,p-2} \Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$	DG $\mathcal{P}_{1,p-3} \Lambda^3(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$
$r = 2$	(scalar) 3D Neilan velocity $\mathcal{P}_{2,p} \Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$	new, $\mathcal{P}_{2,p-1} \Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$	Stenberg $\mathcal{P}_{2,p-2} \Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$	DG $\mathcal{P}_{2,p-3} \Lambda^3(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$

For each $r = 0, 1, 2$, the elements in lower dimensional spaces are a restriction of those in higher dimensions. Some of the new elements have nodal degrees of freedom, and the basis functions of them can be written easily based on Lagrange and Hermite elements etc. Our $H(\text{div})$ elements with $d = 2, r = 1$ and $d = 3, r = 2$ coincide with the “nonstandard $H(\text{div})$ elements” of Stenberg [37].

The elements in the new sequences are subspaces of the standard finite element de Rham complexes with complete polynomials. The standard finite element de Rham complexes [8] fit in our family with $r = 0$. Moreover, we can see the periodicity: beginning with an element with higher continuity (for example, Hermite element for H^1 space with $r = 1$), the continuity decreases as we take exterior derivatives (for $r = 1$, we go back to the classical BDM element for $H(\text{div})$ space in 3D). Furthermore, we can consider restrictions to lower dimensional simplexes. This is analogous to the idea of finite element system (FES) [15], and inspired us to discover the whole families. For $r = 2$, restriction of the finite elements to a two dimensional face has higher continuity across the one dimensional boundary of that face. Actually this reconstructs the two dimensional Stokes complex of Falk and Neilan [19].

The enriched periodic table studied in this paper will give another possibility for BGG constructions at least in two space dimensions. We can use Falk-Neilan Stokes complex ($d = 2, r = 2$) for the top row, and use $d = 2, r = 1$ complex for the bottom. Then S_0 is an identification between vector Hermite elements. This gives the 2D Hu-Zhang elasticity element [27], and explains why the stress element naturally begins with cubic polynomials (since Hermite elements are at least cubic).

Next we recall some notation. We have two exact sequences in dimension two:

$$\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow H(\text{curl}; \Omega) \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} H(\text{div}; \Omega) \xrightarrow{\text{div}} L^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow H(\text{grad}; \Omega) \xrightarrow{\text{grad}} H(\text{rot}; \Omega) \xrightarrow{\text{rot}} L^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (1.2)$$

and we have the sequence in dimension three:

$$\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow H(\text{grad}; \Omega) \xrightarrow{\text{grad}} H(\text{curl}; \Omega) \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} H(\text{div}; \Omega) \xrightarrow{\text{div}} L^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (1.3)$$

One can obtain (1.2) by rotating (1.1) by $\pi/2$. Therefore in the remaining part of this paper, we only consider (1.1) in two dimensions.

We assume that Ω is a polyhedral domain. In the following, we will use $\mathcal{V}$ to denote the set of vertices, $\mathcal{E}$ for edges, $\mathcal{F}$ for faces and $\mathcal{T}$ for the three dimensional volumes. For a given mesh, V , E , F and T are used to denote the number of vertices, edges, faces and tetrahedrons respectively. From Euler’s formula, one has $V - E + F = 1$ in two space dimensions and $V - E + F - T = 1$ in three dimensions for topologically trivial domains.

We will use the notation $P_p\Lambda^k(\Omega)$ to denote Lagrange, second Nédélec, BDM and discontinuous elements with polynomial degree p , and use $P_p^-\Lambda^k(\Omega)$ for the family with incomplete polynomials, i.e. $P_p^-\Lambda^1(\Omega)$ is the Nédélec element of the first kind of degree p , $P_p^-\Lambda^2(\Omega)$ is the Raviart-Thomas element of degree p in 3D. We will use $P_p(T)$ to denote the polynomial space of degree p on simplex T . We will use $\mathcal{P}_{r,p}\Lambda^k(\Omega)$ to denote the families developed below. Here r is the regularity parameter and p is the polynomial degree. Since we mainly consider one, two and three space dimensions, we will explicitly use grad, curl and div instead of the exterior derivative d in most cases. We will use $\dot{P}_p\Lambda^k(\Omega)$ to denote the standard finite element spaces with vanishing boundary conditions. For example, in 3D $\dot{P}_p\Lambda^1(\Omega)$ has vanishing tangential traces and $\dot{P}_p\Lambda^2(\Omega)$ has vanishing normal traces.

The rest of this paper will be organised as follows. In Section 2, we construct the family with regularity parameter $r = 1$ as a resolution of Hermite element. We verify unisolvence and exactness of the new sequences. In Section 3, we construct the family $r = 2$. In Section 4, we discuss the geometric decomposition and local exact sequences of the new complexes. In Section 5, we re-construct 2D Hu-Zhang elasticity elements combining BGG and the new de Rham families. Concluding remarks will be given in Section 6.

2 Hermite family: $r = 1$

The Hermite family begins with Hermite elements in all dimensions.

2.1 One dimensional complex

The one dimensional complex ($p \geq 2$):

$$\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{1,p+1}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^1) \xrightarrow{\text{grad}} \mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^1) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (2.1)$$

consists of C^1 Hermite element of degree $p+1$ ($\mathcal{P}_{1,p+1}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^1)$), and C^0 Lagrange element of degree p ($\mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^1)$). It is obvious that this sequence is (globally) exact on intervals, because the gradient of Hermite element with degree $p+1$ falls into P_p Lagrange element space, and conversely, if $\text{grad } u_h = v_h \in \mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^1)$, then u_h consists of piecewise polynomials of degree $p+1$, and has continuous first order derivative on the vertices, so $u_h \in \mathcal{P}_{1,p+1}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^1)$, that is, u_h belongs to the global Hermite element space.

2.2 Two dimensional complex

We describe the discrete version of sequence (1.1):

$$\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{1,p+2}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^2) \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} \mathcal{P}_{1,p+1}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2) \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^2) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (2.2)$$

We will use Hermite elements to discretize $H(\text{curl})$. One can characterize Hermite elements of degree p as follows:

$$\mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^2) = \{s \in H(\text{curl}) : s|_f \in P_p, \forall f \in \mathcal{F}; s \in C^1 \text{ on } \mathcal{V}\}.$$

We define the $H(\text{div})$ finite element space of degree p as

$$\mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2) := \{v \in H(\text{div}) : v|_f \in (P_p)^2, \forall f \in \mathcal{F}; v \in C^0 \text{ on } \mathcal{V}\}.$$




Figure 1: Finite element sequence of lowest order (2D, $r=1$): $H(\text{curl}) \rightarrow H(\text{div}) \rightarrow L^2$ with the local shape function spaces $P_3 \rightarrow (P_2)^2 \rightarrow P_1$.

This was first introduced in Stenberg [37].

Each row (or column) of Hu-Zhang stress element [26, 28] coincides with the vector valued $\mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$. Using a similar idea as Hu-Zhang construction, we can see the connections between $\mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$ and Lagrange elements: one can retain the normal degrees of freedom on a face (edge in 2D) of the Lagrange elements, and move the tangential degrees of freedom on that face (edge in 2D) into the interior of the elements. Alternatively we can consider the decomposition of the shape function space. $\mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$ can be decomposed as globally continuous Lagrange elements and $H(\text{div})$ bubble functions (with vanishing normal components, but the tangential components can be discontinuous). Specifically, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *We have the decomposition:*

$$\mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2) = \mathbf{L}_h^p + \Sigma_b^p,$$

where $\mathbf{L}_h^p$ is the Lagrange element of degree p , and the bubble function space Σ_b^p is defined as

$$\Sigma_b^p = \{ \mathbf{B}_h \in \mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2) : \mathbf{B}_h \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_e = 0, \forall e \in \mathcal{E} \},$$

where $\boldsymbol{\nu}_e$ is the normal direction of e .

Proof. It is obvious that $\mathbf{L}_h^p + \Sigma_b^p \subset \mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$. Conversely, given $\mathbf{u}_h \in \mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$, define $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_h \in \mathbf{L}_h^p$ by specifying its DoFs: the vertex DoFs and the normal DoFs on the edges are defined to be the same as $\mathbf{u}_h$, while other DoFs are defined to be zero. By definition $\mathbf{u}_h - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_h$ has vanishing normal DoFs, and according to the conforming property, we know that the normal components of $\mathbf{u}_h - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_h$ vanish, and therefore $\mathbf{u}_h - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_h \in \Sigma_b^p$. $\square$

Actually the following sequence is exact on topologically trivial domains, where $p \geq 1$:

$$\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{1,p+2}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^2) \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} \mathcal{P}_{1,p+1}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2) \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^2) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (2.3)$$

For the lowest order case ($p = 1$), we show the finite element diagrams in Figure 1.

Theorem 1. (2.3) is a complex, and it is an exact sequence on topologically trivial domains.

Proof. From the definitions of $\mathcal{P}_{1,p+2}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$, $\mathcal{P}_{1,p+1}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$, it is obvious that $\text{curl } \mathcal{P}_{1,p+2}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^2) \subset \mathcal{P}_{1,p+1}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$ and $\text{div } \mathcal{P}_{1,p+1}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2) \subset \mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$.

Next we show (2.3) is exact. From the inf-sup condition proved in [37], we know that div operator is onto, i.e. $\text{div } \mathcal{P}_{1,p+1}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2) = \mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$. Therefore it suffices to count the dimensions. We note

that the global dimension of Hermite element of degree $p+2$ is $\dim(\mathcal{P}_{1,p+2}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^2)) = 3V + (p-1)E + \frac{1}{2}p(p+1)F$, and the dimensions of $\mathcal{P}_{1,p+1}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$ are $\dim(\mathcal{P}_{1,p+1}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2)) = 2V + pE + (2\binom{p+3}{2} - 3p - 6)F$ and $\dim(\mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^2)) = \binom{p+2}{2}F$ respectively. By direct calculations, we have $\dim(\mathcal{P}_{1,p+1}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2)) = [\dim(\mathcal{P}_{1,p+2}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^2)) - \dim(\mathbb{R})] + \dim(\mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^2))$, where $\dim(\mathbb{R}) = 1$. $\square$

By rotating the elements in sequence (2.3), we can get another grad-rot finite element complex.

Basis function. The basis functions of $\mathcal{P}_{1,p+1}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$ (and also $H(\text{rot})$ space) can be written in a similar way as Lagrange basis (for all components). For example, for $\mathcal{P}_{1,p+1}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$ with continuous normal components, one decomposes the two basis functions associated to an edge Lagrange point into one normal direction and one tangential direction. For a normal basis function, we require it to be single-valued in the two elements sharing the edge, which corresponds to a global DoF. For a tangential basis function, we do not need it to be continuous, so we allow it taking different values in the two elements sharing it.

We explicitly construct a basis of $\mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$. Below we will use ϕ_x^p to denote the nodal basis function of Lagrange elements at x . The superscript p in ϕ_x^p indicates that it is a polynomial of degree p . For simplicity of presentation, we omit this superscript below when there is no possible confusion, i.e. we will write ϕ_x instead. We will use e_i to denote the canonical basis $(0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$ in Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Basis functions of the $H(\text{div})$ finite element space $\mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$ can be constructed as:

1. vertex-based basis functions: given $x \in \mathcal{V}$, its two basis functions are

$$\mathbf{v}_{x,i} = \phi_x \mathbf{e}_i, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

2. edge-based basis functions: given Lagrange point x on edge e , its associated basis function with normal direction:

$$\mathbf{v}_{e,x} = \phi_x \boldsymbol{\nu}_e,$$

where $\boldsymbol{\nu}_e$ is the normal vector of edge e ,

3. edge-based basis functions: given Lagrange point x on edge e , its associated basis function with tangential direction:

$$\mathbf{v}_{e,x,i} = \phi_x|_{f_i} \boldsymbol{\tau}_e, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where f_1 and f_2 are the two elements sharing the edge e , $\boldsymbol{\tau}_e$ is the tangential vector of edge e . $\phi_x|_{f_i}$ may take different values when $i = 1$ and $i = 2$,

4. interior basis functions: at an interior Lagrange point x , its two associated basis functions:

$$\mathbf{v}_{f,x,i} = \phi_x \mathbf{e}_i, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Degrees of freedom Although it suffices to know a basis to carry out computation, we also give a possible set of DoFs for completeness. The geometric locations of DoFs, rather than their specific forms, are essential.

The DoFs of $d = 2, r = 1$ family can be written as follows. As an issue of notation, the set of DoFs is empty when $p < 0$ in $P_p\Lambda^k$.

– For $u \in \mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^0$:




Figure 2: Boundary DoFs. Q is a singular vertex, while Q' is not.

- function value $u(\mathbf{x})$ and first order derivatives $\partial_i u(\mathbf{x}), i = 1, 2$ at each vertex $\mathbf{x}$,
- moments on each edge

$$\int_e u \cdot q \, ds, \quad q \in P_{p-4}(e), \forall e \in \mathcal{E},$$

- moments on each element

$$\int_f u \cdot q \, dx, \quad q \in P_{p-3}(f), \forall f \in \mathcal{F}.$$

– For $\mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^1$:

- function value $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x})$ at each vertex $\mathbf{x}$,
- moments on each edge

$$\int_e (\mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}) q \, ds, \quad q \in P_{p-2}(e), \forall e \in \mathcal{E},$$

- moments on each element

$$\int_f \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{q} \, dx, \quad \mathbf{q} \in P_{p-1}^-\Lambda^1(f), \forall f \in \mathcal{F}.$$

– For $w \in \mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^2$:

- moments on each element

$$\int_f w \cdot q \, dx, \quad q \in P_p(f), \forall f \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Complexes with boundary conditions We consider the following spaces with essential boundary conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} H_0(\text{curl}; \Omega) &:= \{s \in H(\text{curl}; \Omega), s|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}, \\ H_0(\text{div}; \Omega) &:= \{\mathbf{w} \in H(\text{div}; \Omega), \mathbf{w}|_{\partial\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

And we denote

$$L_0^2(\Omega) := \left\{ q \in L^2(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} q = 0 \right\}.$$

Now we can define the following discrete de Rham sequence with homogeneous boundary conditions:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathring{\mathcal{P}}_{1,p+2}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^2) \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} \mathring{\mathcal{P}}_{1,p+1}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2) \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \mathring{\mathcal{P}}_{1,p}\Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^2) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (2.4)$$

For the Poisson equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions, one only needs to specify the function values on boundary. In the case of Hermite elements of H^1 problem, we need to impose the function values and tangential derivatives at vertices, and leave the normal derivatives free. However there is a subtle issue related to the mesh. We first introduce the definition of singular boundary vertices.

Definition 1. *A boundary vertex is called a singular vertex if the two adjacent edges sharing this vertex lie on a straight line.*

Such a definition is also related to the Scott-Vogelius element for Stokes equations [36]. In Figure 2, Q is a singular vertex while Q' is not.

If a boundary vertex is singular, then the two tangential derivatives along the two adjacent edges coincide (up to a sign). In such a case, the number of global degrees of freedom is only reduced by two at this vertex (one function value and one tangential derivative). Otherwise the number is reduced by three due to the boundary conditions.

For the $H(\text{div})$ finite element space $\mathcal{P}_{1,p+1}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$, we explicitly specify the normal DoFs on each edge and DoFs on the vertices. For a singular boundary vertex, there is only one normal component, and for a non-singular boundary vertex, we should specify both of the two components at that vertex.

We denote the number of boundary vertices by V_0 and the number of singular boundary vertices by V_0^s . Then we have the following dimension count:

$$\dim \left(\mathring{\mathcal{P}}_{1,p}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^2) \right) = \dim \left(\mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^2) \right) - (p-3)E_0 - 3V_0 + V_0^s,$$

$$\dim \left(\mathring{\mathcal{P}}_{1,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2) \right) = \dim \left(\mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2) \right) - (p-1)E_0 - 2V_0 + V_0^s,$$

and

$$\dim \left(\mathring{\mathcal{P}}_{1,p}\Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^2) \right) = \dim \left(\mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^2) \right) - 1.$$

In fact, for $\mathring{\mathcal{P}}_{1,p}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$, we remove $p-3$ function value DoFs on each boundary edge, three vertex DoFs on each non-singular boundary vertex and two DoFs on each singular boundary vertex (one function value and one tangential derivative). For $\mathring{\mathcal{P}}_{1,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$, we remove $p-1$ normal DoFs on each boundary edge, two normal DoFs on each non-singular boundary vertex and one normal DoF on each singular boundary vertex.

For a topologically trivial domain, the number of boundary vertices equals that of boundary edges, i.e. $E_0 = V_0$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim \left(\mathring{\mathcal{P}}_{1,p+2} \Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^2) \right) + \dim \left(\mathring{\mathcal{P}}_{1,p} \Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^2) \right) - \dim \left(\mathring{\mathcal{P}}_{1,p+1} \Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2) \right) \\ &= \dim \left(\mathcal{P}_{1,p+2} \Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^2) \right) + \dim \left(\mathcal{P}_{1,p} \Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^2) \right) - \dim \left(\mathcal{P}_{1,p+1} \Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2) \right) + (E_0 - V_0) - 1 \\ &= \dim \left(\mathcal{P}_{1,p+2} \Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^2) \right) + \dim \left(\mathcal{P}_{1,p} \Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^2) \right) - \dim \left(\mathcal{P}_{1,p+1} \Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2) \right) - 1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the dimension condition of exactness holds for (2.4). By a direct checking of the exactness at $\mathring{\mathcal{P}}_{1,p+2} \Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$ and $\mathring{\mathcal{P}}_{1,p} \Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$ as the case without boundary conditions, we obtain the exactness of (2.4).

2.3 Three dimensional complex

We now turn to 3D complexes. Formally, for $p \geq 0$ we write the sequence as

$$\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{1,p+3} \Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^3) \xrightarrow{\text{grad}} \mathcal{P}_{1,p+2} \Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3) \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} \mathcal{P}_{1,p+1} \Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^3) \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \mathcal{P}_{1,p} \Lambda^3(\mathcal{T}_h^3) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Here $\mathcal{P}_{1,p+3} \Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$ is a Hermite finite element in three dimensions, with polynomial degree $p+3$. $\mathcal{P}_{1,p+2} \Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$ is a Stenberg-type $H(\text{curl})$ space, which is tangentially continuous on edges and faces and C^0 continuous on the vertices. To our knowledge, this element is new in the literature.

We can give the following degrees of freedom for $\mathcal{P}_{1,p} \Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$ (as shown in Figure 3):

1. function values $\mathbf{u}(x)$ on each vertex $x \in \mathcal{V}$,
2. $p-1$ tangential DoFs on each edge e :

$$\int_e (\mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_e) q, \quad \forall q \in \mathcal{P}_{p-2} \Lambda^0(e).$$

3. DoFs on each face f :

$$\int_f (\mathbf{u} \times \boldsymbol{\nu}_f) \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\omega} \in \mathcal{P}_{p-1}^- \Lambda^1(f),$$

where $\mathbf{u} \times \boldsymbol{\nu}_f$ is understood as a two dimensional vector on face f ,

4. volume DoFs on 3D cell t :

$$\int_t \mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta}, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathcal{P}_{p-2}^- \Lambda^2(t).$$

In order to prove the unisolvence, we need the following two results which can be found, for example, in Arnold, Falk and Winther [5] (Lemma 4.7).

Lemma 2. Let $\omega \in \mathring{P}_p \Lambda^k(t)$. Suppose that

$$\int_t \omega \wedge \eta = 0, \quad \forall \eta \in P_{p-d+k}^- \Lambda^{d-k}(t),$$

where d is the dimension of t , then $\omega = 0$.




Figure 3: Lowest order (P_2) $H(\text{curl})$ element of continuity $r = 1$.

Lemma 3. (c.f. [5] (3.15)) *We have the dimension count:*

$$\dim P_p^- \Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^d) = \binom{k+p-1}{k} \binom{d+p}{d-k}.$$

We now state our unisolvence results:

Lemma 4. $\mathcal{P}_{1,p} \Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$ *is unisolvent with the DoFs above.*

Proof. First we check the dimension. From Lemma 3, we see

$$\dim (P_{p-1}^- \Lambda^1(f)) = \binom{p-1}{1} \binom{p+1}{1} = (p-1)(p+1),$$

and

$$\dim (P_{p-2}^- \Lambda^2(t)) = \binom{p-1}{2} \binom{p+1}{1} = \frac{1}{2}(p-2)(p-1)(p+1).$$

Therefore the dimension of DoFs is $3V + (p-1)E + (p-1)(p+1)F + \frac{1}{2}(p-2)(p-1)(p+1)T$. On one element ($V = 4, E = 6, F = 4, T = 1$), this amounts to

$$3 \times 4 + 6(p-1) + 4(p-1)(p+1) + \frac{1}{2}(p-2)(p-1)(p+1) = \frac{1}{2}p^3 + 3p^2 + \frac{11}{2}p + 3.$$

This is the same as the dimension of 3D polynomials of degree p :

$$\dim (P_p(t)^3) = 3 \cdot \binom{p+3}{3} = \frac{1}{2}(p^3 + 6p^2 + 11p + 6).$$

Then it suffices to show that if all the DoFs are zero, then $\mathbf{u} = 0$.

From the vertex and edge DoFs, it is obvious that $\text{tr}_e \mathbf{u} = 0$ ($\mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_e = 0$) for all the edges e . Then combining Lemma 2 with the face DoFs, we see $\text{tr}_f \mathbf{u} = 0$ ($\mathbf{u} \times \boldsymbol{\nu}_f = \mathbf{0}$), $\forall f \in \mathcal{F}$. Finally from the volume DoFs, we have $\mathbf{u} = 0$.

This proves the unisolvence. $\square$

Interestingly, at $\mathcal{P}_{1,p+1} \Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$ we come back to the standard finite element de Rham sequence: $\mathcal{P}_{1,p+1} \Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$ is the BDM space with the polynomial degree $p+1$, and $\mathcal{P}_{1,p} \Lambda^3(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$ is the discontinuous finite element space with piecewise polynomials of degree p .

Lemma 5. *The 3D complex of $r = 1$ (2.5) is exact on domains with trivial topology, both locally and globally.*

Proof. The local exactness on an element only involves properties of local polynomials, which is well known. We only show the global exactness.

Exactness at $\mathcal{P}_{1,p+3}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$ is trivial because the kernel of grad operator only consists of constant functions. It is well-known that $\text{div} : \mathcal{P}_{1,p+1}\Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^3) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^3(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$ (BDM-DG pairs) is onto. This proves the exactness at $\mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^3(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$. Furthermore, we note that

$$\mathcal{P}_{1,p+2}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3) = P_{p+2}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3) \cap \{\mathbf{E} : \mathbf{E} \in C^0(\mathcal{V})\}.$$

From the standard results, we have

$$\ker_{\text{curl}} P_{p+2}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3) = \text{grad } P_{p+3}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^3),$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \ker_{\text{curl}} \mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3) &= \text{grad} (P_{p+1}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^3) \cap \{u : u \in C^1(\mathcal{V})\}) \\ &= \text{grad } \mathcal{P}_{1,p+1}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^3), \end{aligned}$$

which shows the exactness at $\mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$.

Then we only need to show the exactness at $\mathcal{P}_{1,p+1}\Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$. After verifying the exactness at all the other spaces, we can check the dimensions to show the desired results.

We know that the Hermite element with degree $p+3$ (i.e. $\mathcal{P}_{1,p+3}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$) has dimension $4V + pE + \frac{1}{2}(p+2)(p+1)F + \frac{1}{6}p(p+1)(p+2)T$. Also, the space $\mathcal{P}_{1,p+2}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$ has dimension $3V + (p+1)E + (p+1)(p+3)F + \frac{1}{2}p(p+1)(p+3)T$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim (P_{p+1}\Lambda^2(t)) &= \dim (\mathcal{P}_{p+1}^-\Lambda^0) F + \dim (\mathcal{P}_p^-\Lambda^1) T \\ &= \binom{p}{0} \binom{p+3}{2} F + \binom{p}{1} \binom{p+3}{2} T \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(p+2)(p+3)F + \frac{1}{2}p(p+2)(p+3)T. \end{aligned}$$

And $\dim (P_p\Lambda^3(t)) = \binom{p+3}{3} = \frac{1}{6}(p+3)(p+2)(p+1)T$.

Checking the dimensions and using Euler's formula, we have proved the desired exactness. $\square$

3 Argyris family: $r = 2$

The $r = 2$ family of scalars consists of elements with C^2 at vertices, C^1 on edges and C^0 on faces. In one dimension, this leads to H^2 - H^1 pairs. In two space dimensions, this gives $H^2 \rightarrow H^1(\text{div}) \rightarrow L^2$ conforming elements. In three dimensions, we obtain conforming discretisations of H^1 - $H(\text{curl})$ - $H(\text{div})$ - L^2 .

3.1 One and two dimensional complexes

In one dimension, the sequence consists of an Argyris-Hermite pair, as the name suggests. For the lowest polynomial degree, we have locally the P_5 - P_4 pair. We actually obtain a conforming finite element sub-complex of

$$\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow H^2(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\text{grad}} H^1(\Omega) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (3.1)$$




Figure 4: Three dimensional $r = 2$ finite element sequence with lowest polynomial degrees $P_5 \rightarrow (P_4)^3 \rightarrow (P_3)^3 \rightarrow P_2$. Interior DoFs (except for the last space) are shown as “+4, +1, +20”. For $H(\text{curl})$ and $H(\text{div})$ elements, the DoFs of three components on vertices are shown by one circle.

The 2D sequence coincides with the Stokes complex given in Falk and Neilan [19]. Because of the high regularity at vertices and on edges, our $d = 2$, $r = 2$ sequence actually gives a conforming discretisation of the Stokes complex

$$\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow H^2(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\text{grad}} H^1(\Omega)^3 \xrightarrow{\text{div}} L^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (3.2)$$

In the finite element complex, Falk and Neilan [19] chose Argyris element for $H^2(\Omega)$, Hermite element for each component of $H^1(\Omega)^3$ and the element with vertex continuity for $L^2(\Omega)$. Inf-sup conditions and exactness were also shown in [19].

3.2 Three dimensional complex

In three dimensions, the $H(\text{curl})$ space is a direct generalisation of the Hermite family to allow jumps in the normal directions. In what follows we construct the finite elements, and the lowest order sequence is shown in Figure 4.

– *Element* $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^3) \in H^1(\Omega)$. We use $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$ to denote the finite element subspace of $H^1(\Omega)$ consisting of polynomials of degree p . This coincides with each component of H^1 velocity space in the 3D Stokes complex of Neilan [33]. There are many successful H^1 elements, and $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$ imposes C^2 continuity at vertices, C^1 on edges and C^0 on faces, i.e.

$$\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^3) = \{s \in H^1(\Omega) : s|_t \in P_p(t), \forall t \in \mathcal{T}; s \in C^2(\mathcal{V}), s \in C^1(\mathcal{E})\}.$$

Furthermore, the restriction of $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$ to a face is a two dimensional Argyris element.

The DoFs are given in (3.2) of [33]. The dimensions can be counted as (for $p \geq 5$):

- one function value and (nine) derivatives up to second order on each vertex,
- $2(p - 4)$ normal derivatives and $p - 5$ function values on each edge,
- $\binom{p-4}{2}$ DoFs on each face (determining 2D P_{p-6} , which is the same as the bubbles of 2D P_{p-3} Lagrange elements),
- $\binom{p-1}{3}$ interior DoFs (determining 3D P_{p-4} , which is the same as the bubbles of 3D P_p Lagrange elements).

The proof of unisolvence can also be found in Neilan [33].

Lemma 6. *The DoFs for $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$ are locally unisolvent, and $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^3) \subset H^1(\Omega)$.*

We count dimensions of the global finite element space:

$$\dim(\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^3)) = 10V + [2(p-4) + (p-5)]E + \binom{p-4}{2}F + \binom{p-1}{3}T.$$

– *Element $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3) \in H(\text{curl})$.* The $H(\text{curl})$ finite element space $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$ is partly motivated by Hu-Zhang elements [26] for the Hellinger-Reissner variational principle for linear elasticity, which is a modification of the nodal Lagrange elements. Here we choose to modify Hermite elements to give a discretisation of $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$.

We can describe $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$ by the local polynomials and the interelement continuity:

$$\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3) = \{\mathbf{w} \in H(\text{curl}; \Omega) : \forall t \in \mathcal{T}, \mathbf{w}|_t \in P_p\Lambda^1(t); \mathbf{w} \in C^1(\mathcal{V}), \mathbf{w} \in C^0(\mathcal{E})\}.$$

The local DoFs can be given as:

- function value and first order derivatives of each component at each vertex $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{V}$:

$$\mathbf{E}_i(\mathbf{x}), \quad \partial_j \mathbf{E}_i(\mathbf{x}), \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3,$$

- $p-3$ moments of function values for each component on each edge $e \in \mathcal{E}$:

$$\int_e (\mathbf{E} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_e) q, \quad q \in P_{p-4}(e),$$

- moments of tangential components on each face f :

$$\int_f (\mathbf{E} \times \boldsymbol{\nu}_f) \cdot \mathbf{q}, \quad \mathbf{q} \in (P_{p-3}(f))^2,$$

where $\mathbf{E} \times \boldsymbol{\nu}_f$ is considered as a 2D vector on f , $\mathbf{q} \in (P_{p-3}(f))^2$ are the tangential vectors,

- interior DoFs on $t \in \mathcal{T}$:

$$\int_t \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}, \quad \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{P}_{p-2}^-\Lambda^2(t). \tag{3.3}$$

The dimension of the bubble space on $t \in \mathcal{T}$ is $\frac{1}{2}(p^3 - 2p^2 - p + 2)$.

We can immediately check the local unisolvence:

Lemma 7. *The DoFs for $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$ are unisolvent.*

Proof. It is straightforward to check the local dimension of the DoFs on an element t :

$$12 \times 4 + 3(p-3) \times 6 + 2 \binom{p-2}{2} \times 4 + \left(\frac{1}{2}p^3 - p^2 - \frac{1}{2}p + 1 \right) \times 1 = \dim(P_p(t)^3).$$

Now it suffices to show that if all the DoFs vanish, we have $\mathbf{E} = 0$ on the element t . Actually, from the DoFs attached to vertices and edges, we know that $\mathbf{E}$ vanishes on all the edges. Then each edge is a factor of (each component of) $\mathbf{E}$. By the DoFs on faces, the tangential component of $\mathbf{E}$ vanishes on all the faces, therefore $\mathbf{E} \in \mathring{P}_p\Lambda^1(t)$. Finally, from the interior DoFs (3.3) and Lemma 2, Lemma 3, we have $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{0}$, which shows the unisolvence. $\square$

The global dimension of $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$ is

$$\dim(\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)) = 12V + 3(p-3)E + 2\binom{p-2}{2}F + \left(\frac{1}{2}p^3 - p^2 - \frac{1}{2}p + 1\right)T.$$

We characterise $H(\text{curl})$ bubbles in a more constructive way, which resembles the discussions of $H(\text{div}, \mathbb{S})$ bubble function in [26, 28].

We define the $H(\text{curl})$ bubble space on $t \in \mathcal{T}$:

$$\Sigma_{t,p}^c := \sum_{i=0}^3 P_{p-3}(t) \lambda_j \lambda_l \lambda_m \boldsymbol{\nu}_i,$$

where t is a 3D element, i, j, l, m are the four different indexes from 0 to 3, λ_j is the j -th barycentric coordinate and $\boldsymbol{\nu}_i$ is the normal vector on the face opposite to vertex i .

We recall that $\mathring{P}_p\Lambda^1(t)$ is the Nédélec element of the second kind of degree p with vanishing tangential traces on ∂t . We have

Lemma 8. $\Sigma_{t,p}^c = \mathring{P}_p\Lambda^1(t), \quad \forall t \in \mathcal{T}.$

Proof. It is obvious that $\Sigma_{t,p}^c \subset \mathring{P}_p\Lambda^1(t)$. To show the converse, we assume $\boldsymbol{E} \in \mathring{P}_p\Lambda^1(T)$. Then from the definition of $\mathring{P}_p\Lambda^1(T)$, $\boldsymbol{E}$ vanishes on the vertices. $\boldsymbol{E}$ has the representation:

$$\boldsymbol{E} = \sum_{i=0}^3 p_i \boldsymbol{\nu}_i, \quad p_i \in P_p(t).$$

This representation is not unique, since there are four normals on a tetrahedron, which are not linearly independent. We are to prove that p_i contains a factor $\lambda_j \lambda_l \lambda_m$, where i, j, m and l are four different indexes of 0, 1, 2, 3.

On face f_j , we have

$$\mathbf{0} = \boldsymbol{E} \times \boldsymbol{\nu}_j = \sum_{i=0}^3 p_i \boldsymbol{\nu}_i \times \boldsymbol{\nu}_j = \sum_{i \neq j} p_i \frac{\boldsymbol{e}_{lm}}{|\boldsymbol{e}_{lm}|},$$

where $\boldsymbol{e}_{lm}$ is the edge connecting vertex l and vertex m .

Fixing i , we have three options for j , so three options for $\boldsymbol{e}_{lm}$, which are linearly independent, forming a basis of $\mathbb{R}^3$. Therefore p_i vanishes on f_j , $i \neq j$. This implies that p_i contains a factor $\lambda_j \lambda_l \lambda_m$.

This proves $\mathring{P}_p\Lambda^1(t) \subset \Sigma_{t,p}^c$, and hence $\Sigma_{t,p}^c = \mathring{P}_p\Lambda^1(t)$. $\square$

We have the following space decomposition, which shows that $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$ can be written as the sum of a globally continuous Hermite element space and local bubble spaces:

Lemma 9. $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3) = \boldsymbol{S}_h^p + \Sigma_p^c$, where $\boldsymbol{S}_h^p$ is the vector Hermite space, and Σ_p^c is the space which belongs to $\Sigma_{t,p}^c$ when restricted to an element t .

Proof. First we prove $\boldsymbol{S}_h^p + \Sigma_p^c \subset \mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$. In fact, the local polynomials of $\boldsymbol{S}_h^p + \Sigma_p^c$ and $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$ are the same P_p . And it is obvious that $\boldsymbol{S}_h^p$ satisfies the interelement continuity required by the DoFs of $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$. Now we show that the interelement continuity of zero extensions of $\Sigma_{t,p}^c$ also satisfies the continuity of $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$.

Actually, it is obvious that $H(\text{curl})$ bubbles $p_i \lambda_j \lambda_l \lambda_m \boldsymbol{n}_i$ vanish on all edges, and the derivatives $\text{grad}(p_i \lambda_j \lambda_l \lambda_m \boldsymbol{n}_i)$ contain at least two factors of barycentric coordinates, which also vanish at all the vertices. This shows that the bubble functions satisfy the interelement continuity.

It remains to show the converse, $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3) \subset \mathbf{S}_h^p + \Sigma_p^c$. From the DoFs of $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$, one can define the canonical interpolations $I^c : \mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3) \mapsto \mathbf{S}_h^p$. In fact, given $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$, we can define $I^c \mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{S}_h^p$ by defining the function values $I^c \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$ and derivatives at the vertices $\partial_i I^c \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$, function values on the edges $I^c \mathbf{u}(e)$ and tangential components on faces $I^c \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{n}$ to be the same as the corresponding values of $\mathbf{u}$ ($I^c \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$, $\partial_i I^c \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = \partial_i \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$, $I^c \mathbf{u}(e) = \mathbf{u}(e)$, $I^c \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{n}$). Then we define the normal components to be zero $I^c \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$, which is consistent across the boundary of elements. Then for any $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$, it is easy to see that $\mathbf{u}_b|_t := (\mathbf{u} - I^c \mathbf{u})|_t \in \dot{\mathcal{P}}_p\Lambda^1(t) = \Sigma_{t,p}^c$. This implies $\mathbf{u} = I^c \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_b$ can be decomposed as a sum of Hermite elements and local bubbles. $\square$

– *Element* $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^3) \subset H(\text{div})$. The construction of $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$ has appeared in Stenberg [37]. $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$ can be characterised as:

$$\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^3) = \{\mathbf{v} \in H(\text{div}) : \mathbf{v}|_t \in (P_p(t))^3, \forall t \in \mathcal{T}_h^3; \mathbf{v} \in C^0(\mathcal{V})\}.$$

The local DoFs are:

- function values of each component $\mathbf{u}_i(\mathbf{x})$ on each vertex $\mathbf{x}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$,
- on each face, one normal DoF on each Lagrange point (including the points on edges, but except for the DoFs which has been specified above on the vertices),
- interior DoFs

$$\int_t \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}, \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in P_{p-1}^-(t), t \in \mathcal{T}_h^3.$$

Lemma 10. $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$ is locally unisolvent.

The proof can be found in [37].

We note that the global dimension of $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$ is:

$$\dim(\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^3)) = 3V + \frac{1}{2}(p^2 + 3p - 4)F + \frac{1}{2}(p-1)(p+1)(p+2)T.$$

– *Element* $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^3(\mathcal{T}_h^3) \subset L^2(\Omega)$. As above, $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^3(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$ is the discontinuous piecewise polynomial space of degree p :

$$\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^3(\mathcal{T}_h^3) := \{q \in L^2 : q|_T \in P_p, \forall T \in \mathcal{T}\}.$$

The global dimension is

$$\dim(\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^3(\mathcal{T}_h^3)) = \binom{p+3}{3}T.$$

We verify the exactness on a topologically trivial domain in the following theorem:

Theorem 2. *Three dimensional sequence*

$$\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{2,p+3}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^3) \xrightarrow{\text{grad}} \mathcal{P}_{2,p+2}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3) \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} \mathcal{P}_{2,p+1}\Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^3) \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^3(\mathcal{T}_h^3) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (3.4)$$

is exact on topologically trivial domains.

Proof. From the inf-sup condition between $\mathcal{P}_{2,p+1}\Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^3(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$ which was proved in [37] (it also follows from the inf-sup condition of Hu-Zhang type vector elements below, where the velocity space is smaller), we see $\text{div} : \mathcal{P}_{2,p+1}\Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^3) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^3(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$ is onto.

Below we will use $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}_h^p$ to denote Nédélec edge element of the second kind of degree p , and use $\tilde{S}_h^p$ to denote the Lagrange element of degree p .

From the definition of $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$,

$$\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3) = \tilde{\mathbf{W}}_h^p \cap \{\mathbf{w} : \mathbf{w} \in C^1(\mathcal{V}), \mathbf{w} \in C^0(\mathcal{E})\},$$

$$\ker_{\text{curl}} \mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3) = \ker_{\text{curl}} \tilde{\mathbf{W}}_h^p \cap \{\mathbf{w} : \mathbf{w} \in C^1(\mathcal{V}), \mathbf{w} \in C^0(\mathcal{E})\},$$

and define $S = \{s : s \in C^2(\mathcal{V}), s \in C^1(\mathcal{E})\}$:

$$\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^3) = \tilde{S}_h^p \cap S,$$

$$\text{grad } \mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^3) = \text{grad } \tilde{S}_h^p \cap \text{grad } S.$$

We note that $\text{grad } \tilde{S}_h^p = \ker_{\text{curl}} \tilde{\mathbf{W}}_h^p$ by the exactness of the standard de Rham complex (including Lagrange elements, Nédélec elements of the second kind and the BDM elements). And from the definition we have

$$\text{grad } S = \{\mathbf{w} : \mathbf{w} \in C^1(\mathcal{V}), \mathbf{w} \in C^0(\mathcal{E})\}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\text{grad } \mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^3) = \text{grad } \tilde{S}_h^p \cap \text{grad } S = \ker_{\text{curl}} \mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3).$$

It remains to show that for each $\mathbf{v}_h \in \mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$ satisfying $\text{div } \mathbf{v}_h = 0$, we have $\mathbf{v}_h = \text{curl } \mathbf{w}_h$ for some $\mathbf{w}_h \in \mathcal{P}_{2,p+1}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$. Since we have shown the exactness at other indices, it suffices to check the dimension now.

We summarise the global dimension of the sequence as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\rightarrow 10V + [2(p-1) + (p-2)]E + \binom{p-1}{2}F + \binom{p+2}{3}T \\ &\rightarrow 12V + 3(p-1)E + 2\binom{p+1}{2}F + \left[\frac{1}{2}(p+2)^3 - (p+2)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(p+2) + 1\right]T \\ &\rightarrow 3V + \frac{1}{2}(p^2 + 5p)F + \frac{1}{2}p(p+2)(p+3)T \rightarrow \binom{p+3}{3} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

By direct calculations, we know this sequence satisfies the dimension condition of the exactness. $\square$

3.3 Basis functions in three dimensions

For the $H(\text{curl})$ finite element space $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$, we can group the basis functions into several groups. Hereafter, we will use $\psi_{\mathbf{x}}$ to denote the Hermite nodal basis at Hermite point $\mathbf{x}$, i.e. $\psi_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}) = 1$, $\psi_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{y}) = 0$ at Hermite interpolation point $\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{x}$, and $\psi_{\mathbf{x}}$ has vanishing first order derivatives at vertices.

1. vertex-based basis functions: given $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{V}$, its twelve basis functions are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{x},i} &= \psi_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{n}_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \\ \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{x},i,j} &= \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{x},i} \mathbf{e}_j, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{x},i}$ is the vertex derivative basis function satisfying $\left(\partial^k \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{x},i}\right) \Big|_{\mathbf{x}} = \delta_i^k$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{x},i}|_{\mathbf{y}} = 0$ at all Hermite points $\mathbf{y}$. Here $\mathbf{e}_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ are the three basis vectors in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

2. edge-based basis functions: given Hermite point x on edge e , its associated three basis functions:

$$\mathbf{w}_{e,x,i} = \psi_x \mathbf{e}_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

3. face-based basis functions: given Hermite point x on face f , its associated two basis functions with tangential directions:

$$\mathbf{w}_{f,x,i}^t = \psi_x \boldsymbol{\tau}_{f,x,i}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{f,x,i}$ is the tangential vector of face f at x .

4. face-based basis functions: given Hermite point x on a face f , its associated basis function with normal direction:

$$\mathbf{w}_{f,x,i}^n = \psi_x|_{t_i} \boldsymbol{\nu}_f, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where t_1 and t_2 are the two elements which share the face f , $\boldsymbol{\nu}_f$ is the normal vector of face f . Here $\psi_x|_{t_i}$ may take different values when $i = 1$ and $i = 2$.

5. interior basis functions: on each interior Hermite point x , its three associated basis functions:

$$\mathbf{w}_{t,x,i} = \psi_x \mathbf{e}_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

We recall that ϕ_x is the Lagrange nodal basis at x , i.e. $\phi_x(x) = 1$, $\phi_x(y) = 0$ for the Lagrange interpolation point $y \neq x$. For the discrete $H(\text{div})$ space $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$, we can also group the basis functions into several groups in a similar way:

1. vertex-based basis functions: given $x \in \mathcal{V}$, its three basis functions are

$$\mathbf{v}_{x,i} = \phi_x \mathbf{e}_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

2. edge-based basis functions: given Hermite point x on edge e , its associated basis functions with tangential directions:

$$\mathbf{v}_{e,x,i}^t = \phi_x|_{t_i} \boldsymbol{\tau}_e,$$

where $\boldsymbol{\tau}_e$ is the tangential direction of e , t_i are the elements sharing e as an edge. $\phi_x|_{t_i}$ can take different values on different t_i .

3. face-based basis functions: given Hermite point x on face f (not on edges), its associated 2 basis functions with tangential directions:

$$\mathbf{v}_{f,x,i} = \phi_x|_{t_i} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{f,x,i}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{f,x,i}$, $i = 1, 2$ are the two tangential vector of face f at x , t_1 and t_2 are the two elements which share the face f . $\psi_x|_{t_i}$ may take different values when $i = 1$ and $i = 2$.

4. face-based basis functions: given Hermite point x on edge f (including Hermite points on edges but not on the vertices), its associated basis function with normal direction:

$$\mathbf{v}_{f,x} = \phi_x \boldsymbol{\nu}_f,$$

where $\boldsymbol{\nu}_f$ is the normal vector of face f .

5. interior basis functions: on each interior Hermite point x , its three associated basis functions:

$$\mathbf{v}_{t,x,i} = \phi_x \mathbf{e}_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

3.4 Asymptotic dimensions of the global finite element spaces

With enhanced smoothness, the dimensions of global DoFs are significantly reduced. The advantages of the discrete $H(\text{div})$ space $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$ have been shown in Stenberg [37]. So here we focus on the $H(\text{curl})$ subspace $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$. An analogous discussion is also possible for 2D elements.

To see this, we first recall the asymptotic estimates of the dimensions (see, for example, [37]):

$$V = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{6}T\right), \quad E = \mathcal{O}(7V) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{7}{6}T\right), \quad \text{and } F = \mathcal{O}(2T).$$

Then we have the estimate of global dimension of the second kind Nédélec elements [9]:

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(P_p\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)) &= 6(p+1)E + 4(p+1)(p-1)F + \frac{1}{2}(p+1)(p-1)(p-2)T \\ &= \mathcal{O}\left(\left[7(p+1) + 8(p+1)(p-1) + \frac{1}{2}(p+1)(p-1)(p-2)\right]T\right) \\ &= \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}p^3 + 7p^2 + \frac{13}{2}p\right)T\right). \end{aligned}$$

And for the new element $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$ with the same degree:

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)) &= 12V + 3(p-3)E + 2C_{p-2}^2F + \left(\frac{1}{2}p^3 - p^2 - \frac{1}{2}p + 1\right)T \\ &= \mathcal{O}\left(\left[2 + \frac{7}{2}(p-3) + 2(p-1)(p-2) + \left(\frac{1}{2}p^3 - p^2 - \frac{1}{2}p + 1\right)\right]T\right) \\ &= \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}p^3 + p^2 - 3p - \frac{11}{2}\right)T\right). \end{aligned}$$

Then we see that $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$ has much less DoFs than $P_p\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$:

$$\dim(P_p\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)) - \dim(\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)) = \mathcal{O}\left(\left(6p^2 + \frac{19}{2}p + \frac{11}{2}\right)T\right).$$

For example, for $p = 4$, $\dim(P_p\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)) = \mathcal{O}(170T)$, and $\dim(\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3)) < \mathcal{O}(31T)$.

3.5 Hu-Zhang type $H(\text{div})$ space

The Stenberg $H(\text{div})$ element $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^2$ in 3D does not have continuity on the edges, except for the vertex continuity. On the other hand, the Hu-Zhang element for linear elasticity has continuous normal component on the edges. Using a similar idea, we can design another vector $H(\text{div})$ element with normal continuity on the edges. The inf-sup condition of $H(\text{div})$ - L^2 finite element pair also holds.

The new element can be described as

$$\mathbf{V}_p^h := \{\mathbf{v} \in H(\text{div}; \Omega) : v|_t \in P_p(t), \forall t \in \mathcal{T}, \mathbf{v} \in C^0(\mathcal{V}), \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}_i \in C^0(\mathcal{E}), i = 1, 2\}.$$

The local degrees of freedom are:

- function value of each component on each vertex: $u_i(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{V}, i = 1, 2, 3$,
- on each edge, two normals DoFs at each Lagrange point $\mathbf{x}_e$ (except for the vertices): $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{e,i}(\mathbf{x}_e)$, $e \in \mathcal{E}, i = 1, 2$,

- on each face f :

$$\int_f (\mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_f) w, \quad \forall w \in \{q \in P_p(f) : q = 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{V}\},$$

- interior DoFs on each tetrahedra $t \in \mathcal{T}$:

$$\int_t \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}, \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{P}_{p-1}^- \Lambda^1(t).$$

The proof of unisolvence is similar to Lemma 4, following Lemma 2. And from a similar argument as Lemma 9, we see that the volume bubble functions of $\mathbf{V}_p^h$ coincide with those of BDM elements (and also $\mathcal{P}_{2,p} \Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^3)$).

Following the proof of inf-sup conditions in Hu and Zhang [28] (Lemma 3.2), replacing the space of rigid body motion by the space of constants, and replacing symmetric gradient ϵ by gradient, we can prove the inf-sup condition of the pair $\mathbf{V}_p^h$ - $P_{p-1} \Lambda^2$.

A Lagrange type basis is also valid for $\mathbf{V}_p^h$.

4 Geometric decomposition

The choice of DoFs is not unique, while the geometric location of the DoFs is essential to define a finite element. Based on this observation, the global finite element space can be decomposed by topological entities (c.f. [7]). Specifically, on a simplex Δ , the dual basis of the DoFs spans a local space with vanishing trace on the boundary of the patch associated to Δ . This space is independent of the choice of basis.

This idea is used as definition of finite elements in Christiansen et al. [15]. In the finite element systems proposed in [15], differential complexes are considered on all the simplexes with different dimensions. The interelement continuity is guaranteed by requiring that the pull back to each simplex is single-valued, which is enforced by taking inverse limit. Then the global function spaces can be decomposed as a sequence of Whitney forms, which has the lowest polynomial degrees but lives on different topological entities, and sequences of bubble functions, each of which lives in the same patch. Although with different names, such a decomposition plays a fundamental role in the study of high order methods, e.g. local complete sequence in Schöberl and Zaglmayr [35], bounded commuting interpolations (Falk and Winther [20, 21]).

In this section, we give a similar decomposition of the new sequences with regularity $r = 1$ and $r = 2$. Each local space is the span of dual basis of the DoFs on a topological entity.

Since the continuity involved is usually higher than the natural regularity of the existing de Rham elements in finite element exterior calculus, we will use jets, besides differential forms, in our discussions. A r -jet means a function together with its Taylor expansion up to order r , in a coordinate-free way [34]. This is a generalisation of the concept of differential forms to higher continuity. Jets and differential operators can be represented in local coordinates. For example, 2D 1-jet for a 1-form $\mathbf{v}$ can be represented in the coordinate form $(v^1, v_1^1, v_2^1, v^2, v_1^2, v_2^2)$. Here v^1 and v^2 represent the values of the two components of $\mathbf{v}$, and v_j^i is the j -th derivative of the i -th component. In the definition of jets, v^i and v_j^i are considered to be independent. Under such a representation, a differential operator has the form of its symbol. Also in the above example, the divergence operator on 1-form $\mathbf{v}$ has the coordinate correspondence: $\text{div } \mathbf{v} = v_1^1 + v_2^2$. The operators grad and curl are can be represented in a similar way.

Although our examples of sequences are in d dimensions where $d \leq 3$, the local exactness will be verified for any $d \geq 1$ below.

– Case $r = 1$.

The vertex sequence can be written as

$$\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow J^1\Lambda^0(v, \Omega) \xrightarrow{d} J^0\Lambda^1(v, \Omega) \xrightarrow{d} 0 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow 0. \quad (4.1)$$

Here $J^l(v, \Omega)$ is the l -jet on vertex v (imbedded in d dimensional space Ω). We note that $\dim(J^1\Lambda^0(v, \Omega)) = d + 1$ and $\dim(J^0\Lambda^1(v, \Omega)) = d$ in d dimensions. In local coordinates, $\mathbf{u} \in J^1\Lambda^0(v, \Omega)$ and $\mathbf{w} \in J^0\Lambda^1(v, \Omega)$ have the form $(u, u_1, \dots, u_d)$ and $(w^1, w^2, \dots, w^d)$, where $u_i = \partial_i u$, but considered as an independent variable. Now grad (the first exterior differential d in the above sequence) has the form $\text{grad } \mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_d)$. By counting the dimensions, (4.1) is exact.

The edge bubble sequence coincides with the bubble complex of 1D Hermite-Lagrange pairs:

$$0 \longrightarrow \dot{\mathcal{P}}_{1,p}\Lambda^0(e) \xrightarrow{d} \dot{\mathcal{P}}_{1,p-1}\Lambda^1(e)/\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Here $e \in \mathcal{E}$ is a one dimensional simplex, therefore the sequence is the same as the bubbles of (2.1). If e is an interior edge, $\dot{\mathcal{P}}_{1,p}\Lambda^0(e)$ contains functions with vanishing values and derivatives on the vertices, and $\dot{\mathcal{P}}_{1,p-1}\Lambda^1(e)/\mathbb{R}$ includes functions with vanishing values on the vertices and vanishing integration of the tangential component along e . If e is a boundary edge, the vanishing boundary conditions depend on whether the attached boundary vertex is singular or not, as discussed before.

The face and volume bubble sequences are the same as standard finite element de Rham sequences:

$$0 \longrightarrow \dot{\mathcal{P}}_p\Lambda^0(f) \xrightarrow{d} \dot{\mathcal{P}}_{p-1}\Lambda^1(f) \xrightarrow{d} \dot{\mathcal{P}}_{p-2}\Lambda^2(f)/\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow 0, \quad (4.3)$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \dot{\mathcal{P}}_p\Lambda^0(t) \xrightarrow{d} \dot{\mathcal{P}}_{p-1}\Lambda^1(t) \xrightarrow{d} \dot{\mathcal{P}}_{p-2}\Lambda^2(t) \xrightarrow{d} \dot{\mathcal{P}}_{p-3}\Lambda^3(t)/\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Here the vanishing boundary conditions are the same as the standard case, and now (4.2)-(4.4) are exact.

– Case $r = 2$.

The vertex sequence can be written as

$$\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow J^2\Lambda^0(v, \Omega) \xrightarrow{d} J^1\Lambda^1(v, \Omega) \xrightarrow{d} J^0\Lambda^2(v, \Omega) \xrightarrow{d} 0 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Again $J^l(v, \Omega)$ is the l -jet on vertex v imbedded in d dimensional Ω . We have $\dim(J^2\Lambda^0(v, \Omega)) = \frac{1}{2}(d^2 + 3d + 2)$, $\dim(J^1\Lambda^1(v, \Omega)) = d(d + 1)$ and $\dim(J^0\Lambda^2(v, \Omega)) = \binom{d}{2} = (1/2)d(d - 1)$ in d space dimensions.

In one space dimension, it is obvious that the complex is exact (no two-forms involved). In two dimensions, the kernel of curl (similar to grad) is constant. And $\text{div}(\text{rot}): J^1\Lambda^1(v, \Omega) \rightarrow J^0\Lambda^2(v, \Omega)$ is onto, since for $\mathbf{w} \in J^0\Lambda^2(v, \Omega)$ with the coordinate representation (w) , we can specify $\mathbf{v} = (u^1, (1/2)w, u_2^1, u^2, u_1^2, (1/2)w) \in J^1\Lambda^1(v)$. From the definition, we see $\text{div } \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w}$. By a similar argument, we have in 3D, $\ker(\text{grad}) = \mathbb{R}$, and $\text{curl}: J^1\Lambda^1(v, \Omega) \rightarrow J^0\Lambda^2(v, \Omega)$ is onto, because for $\mathbf{w} = (w^1, w^2, w^3) \in J^0\Lambda^2(v, \Omega)$, we can define $\mathbf{u} = (u^1, u_1^1, 0, 0, u^2, w^3, u_2^2, w^1, u^3, w^2, 0, u_3^3)$, and $\text{curl } \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{w}$.

To verify the exactness at $J^1\Lambda^1(v, \Omega)$, it suffices to check the dimensions. In d space dimensions where $d \geq 1$, we have

$$0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(d^2 + 3d + 2) \rightarrow d(d+1) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(d-1)d \rightarrow 0,$$

which verifies the exactness of the vertex sequences (4.5).

The edge sequences of $r = 2$ family reads ($p \geq 2$):

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathring{J}_p^1\Lambda^0(e, \Omega) \xrightarrow{d} \mathring{J}_{p-1}^0\Lambda^1(e, \Omega)/\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow 0. \quad (4.6)$$

Here $\mathring{J}_p^1\Lambda^0(e, \Omega)$ is the 1-jet on the edge e with vanishing vertex DoFs (derivatives up to second order). Its Taylor expansion depends on d , the dimension of Ω . $\mathring{J}_{p-1}^0\Lambda^1(e, \Omega)$ is the 0-jet on e with vanishing vertex DoFs (derivatives up to first order) and vanishing integration of the tangential component.

We have the dimension count (for 0- forms, there are $p-5$ DoFs for the function value, $d-1$ normal vectors, and $p-4$ DoFs for each normal derivative on each edge; for 1- forms, we have d components, $p-4$ DoFs for each component on each edge):

$$0 \rightarrow (p-5) + (d-1) \cdot (p-4) \rightarrow d(p-4) - 1 \rightarrow 0,$$

which implies the exactness.

The face and volume bubbles coincide with the standard finite element de Rham complexes, which are exact:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow \mathring{P}_p\Lambda^0(f) \xrightarrow{d} \mathring{P}_{p-1}\Lambda^1(f) \xrightarrow{d} P_{p-2}\Lambda^2(f)/\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\longrightarrow \mathring{P}_p\Lambda^0(t) \xrightarrow{d} \mathring{P}_{p-1}\Lambda^1(t) \xrightarrow{d} \mathring{P}_{p-2}\Lambda^2(t) \xrightarrow{d} P_{p-3}\Lambda^3(t)/\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

5 Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand (BGG) constructions

Arnold, Falk and Winther [4] introduced the Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand (BGG) constructions into numerical analysis in order to construct finite elements of symmetric tensors from the well-known de Rham elements. Later, Hu and Zhang [27] designed a new family in a straightforward and innovative way. In this section, we re-construct 2D Hu-Zhang stress element using BGG and the families discussed in this paper. We hope that the discussions below could reveal some connections between these two approaches, and further shed some light on the construction of other tensor valued elements and complexes. Hu [26] gave a unified construction in any space dimension, but from the de Rham families in this paper, we only re-construct the 2D case.

Most of the discussions in this section are routine following [4]. The key observation is that we use the Hermite element for both 2D $r = 1$ H^1 and each component of 2D $r = 2$ $H(\text{div})$, therefore S_0 below is an isomorphism between the finite element spaces. We focus on the finite element spaces, and keep the notation to be minimal.

We construct 2D Hu-Zhang element step by step from the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{P}_{2,p+3}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^2) & \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} & \mathcal{P}_{2,p+2}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2) & \xrightarrow{\text{div}} & \mathcal{P}_{2,p+1}\Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^2) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & \nearrow S_0 & & \nearrow S_1 & & & \\ \mathcal{P}_{1,p+2}\Lambda^0(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathcal{T}_h^2) & \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} & \mathcal{P}_{1,p+1}\Lambda^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathcal{T}_h^2) & \xrightarrow{\text{div}} & \mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathcal{T}_h^2) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Here $\mathcal{P}_{r,p}\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathcal{T}_h^2)$ is the discrete differential form taking value in $\mathbb{R}^2$, i.e. we collect two copies of $\mathcal{P}_{r,p}\Lambda^k(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$. For $k = 0, 2$, $\mathcal{P}_{r,p}\Lambda^k(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$ corresponds to a scalar function, so $\mathcal{P}_{r,p}\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathcal{T}_h^2)$ is a vector with two components; for $k = 1$, $\mathcal{P}_{r,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$ is a vector, therefore $\mathcal{P}_{r,p}\Lambda^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathcal{T}_h^2)$ corresponds to a matrix, each row of which is a vector of $\mathcal{P}_{r,p}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$. Furthermore, we recall that each component of $\mathcal{P}_{1,p+2}\Lambda^0(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathcal{T}_h^2)$ is an Hermite element, which coincides with that of $\mathcal{P}_{2,p+2}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$.

Here S_0 is bijective sending a vector (f_1, f_2) to a one form $f_2 dx^1 - f_1 dx^2$, and S_1

$$S_1 : \begin{pmatrix} a_{12} \\ a_{22} \end{pmatrix} dx^1 - \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} \\ a_{21} \end{pmatrix} dx^2 \mapsto (a_{12} - a_{21}) dx^1 \wedge dx^2,$$

is surjective. Both S_0 and S_1 are algebraic in the sense that no differential is involved, and the commuting relation holds: $\text{div} \circ S_0 = S_1 \circ \text{curl}$. In our setting, S_0 sends a vector of Hermite elements (considered as a vector of functions) identically to a vector of Hermite elements (considered as the two components of a scalar one-form). We collect two copies of Stenberg vector elements, and S_1 takes one component from each vector and get the difference.

We are ready for the BGG construction now.

Step 1. Complex of product spaces Define $\Xi_p^k = \mathcal{P}_{2,p+1}\Lambda^k(\mathcal{T}_h^2) \times \mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathcal{T}_h^2)$ to be the product space. The first step is to establish a new complex of Ξ_p^k under certain operators.

To do this, define $\mathcal{A}_k : \Xi_{p+1}^k \mapsto \Xi_p^{k+1}$ by

$$\mathcal{A}_k := \begin{pmatrix} d & -S_k \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

When there is no possible confusion, we write $S = S_k$ and $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_k$. For $(\omega, \mu) \in \Xi_p^k$, $\mathcal{A}(\omega, \mu) = (d\omega - S_k \mu, d\mu)$. By direct calculations, we see $\mathcal{A}^2 = 0$, and the complex

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \Xi_{p+2}^0 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}} \Xi_{p+1}^1 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}} \Xi_p^2 \longrightarrow 0, \quad (5.1)$$

is exact for contractable domains.

Step 2. Projection to a subcomplex The second step is to project (5.1) to a subcomplex. Following [4], we define

$$\Gamma_p^0 = \{(\omega, \mu) \in \Xi_p^0 : d\omega = S_0 \mu\}, \quad \Gamma_p^1 = \{(\omega, \mu) \in \Xi_p^1 : \omega = 0\},$$

and

$$\pi^0(\omega, \mu) = (\omega, S_0^{-1} d\omega), \quad \pi^1(\omega, \mu) = (0, \mu + dS_0^{-1} \omega).$$

Then it is straightforward to check that the following diagram is commuting, and therefore the bottom complex is exact on contractable domains:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & \Xi_{p+2}^0 & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}} & \Xi_{p+1}^1 & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}} & \Xi_p^2 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \pi^0 & & \downarrow \pi^1 & & \downarrow id \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{p+2}^0 & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}} & \Gamma_{p+1}^1 & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}} & \Xi_p^2 \longrightarrow 0. \end{array} \quad (5.2)$$

Step 3. Identification Identifying $(\omega, S_0^{-1}d\omega) \in \Gamma_{p+2}^0$ with ω , and $(0, \mu) \in \Gamma_{p+1}^1$ with μ , the bottom sequence in (5.2) can be interpreted as

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{2,p+3}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^2) \xrightarrow{dS_0^{-1}d} \mathcal{P}_{1,p+1}\Lambda^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathcal{T}_h^2) \xrightarrow{(-S_1, d)} \Xi_p^2 \longrightarrow 0. \quad (5.3)$$

Here $dS_0^{-1}d$ is the Airy operator:

$$dS_0^{-1}d(u) = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_2^2 u & -\partial_2 \partial_1 u \\ -\partial_1 \partial_2 u & \partial_1^2 u \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we obtain the complex of elasticity with weak symmetry.

Step 4. Imposing symmetric constraint The matrix form M of $u \in \mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathcal{T}_h^2)$ is symmetric if and only if $S_1 u = 0$. Now we reduce the DoFs of the skew-symmetric part to get the 2D Hu-Zhang elements.

We first re-write the DoFs for M as:

1. four DoFs of function values on each vertex $v \in \mathcal{V}$: $M(v)$,
2. edge DoFs: $\int_e (M \cdot \nu_e) \cdot \mathbf{q}$, $\mathbf{q} \in (P_{p-2}(e))^2$,
3. interior DoFs for the skew-symmetric part, which are the same as the interior DoFs of $\mathcal{P}_{2,p}\Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^2)$ ($\frac{1}{2}p^2 + \frac{3}{2}p - 2$ DoFs):

$$\int_t \text{skw}(M) : \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q \\ -q & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall q \in P_p(t), q = 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{V},$$

4. interior DoFs, which are the same as that of 2D Hu-Zhang $H_h(\text{div}, \mathbb{S})$ space ($\frac{3}{2}p^2 - \frac{3}{2}p$ DoFs):

$$\int_t M : \theta, \quad \theta \in \{\tau \in P_p(\text{div}, \mathbb{S}) : \tau \cdot \nu_{\partial t} = 0\}.$$

To verify that these DoFs define the same elements as $\mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathcal{T}_h^2)$, we only note that the number of interior DoFs is $(\frac{1}{2}p^2 + \frac{3}{2}p - 2) + (\frac{3}{2}p^2 - \frac{3}{2}p) = 2 \cdot \dim(P_{p-1}^-(t))$, which coincides with the dimension of the interior bubbles of $\mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathcal{T}_h^2)$. Furthermore, if all the DoFs vanish, we first verify that $\text{skw}(M) = 0$ by the DoFs of the skew-symmetric part, then M vanishes by the same argument as Hu-Zhang element [29].

Finally, we can reduce the DoFs of the skew-symmetric part to get the Hu-Zhang element:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P}_{2,p+3}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^2) & \xrightarrow{dS_0^{-1}d} & \mathcal{P}_{1,p+1}\Lambda^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathcal{T}_h^2) & \xrightarrow{(-S_1, d)} & \Xi_p^2 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow id & & \downarrow id - i_h \text{skw} & & \downarrow \Pi_h \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P}_{2,p+3}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^2) & \xrightarrow{dS_0^{-1}d} & \Sigma_h & \xrightarrow{d} & \mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathcal{T}_h^2) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array} \quad (5.4)$$

Here i_h is a discrete inclusion, mapping a skew-symmetric matrix K to $\mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathcal{T}_h^2)$, defined by setting $\text{skw}(i_h K) = \text{skw}(K)$ at the vertices, and setting the interior DoFs of the skew-symmetric part:

$$\int_t \text{skw}(i_h K) : \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q \\ -q & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \int_t \text{skw}(K) : \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q \\ -q & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall q \in P_p(t), q = 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{V},$$

and setting the DoFs in Item 2 and Item 4 above to be zero. Defining $\Pi_h(\omega, \mu) := \mu - di_h\omega$, we can check that (5.4) is commuting and particularly, the bottom sequence is exact on contractable domains, which gives Hu-Zhang stress and displacement elements in 2D.

6 Concluding remarks

In this paper, we studied finite element de Rham complexes with higher continuity on sub-simplices, and as a result, some of these elements can be represented by Lagrange or Hermite type basis functions. Motivated by the idea of finite element systems, we give several different combinations of local exact sequences. Actually, we found the positions of existing elements with different initial purposes, and discovered new ones (Table 3): $H(\text{curl})$ elements with $d = 2, r = 1$ and $d = 3, r = 1, 2$ are new. Table 4 shows the local continuity in 3D: $r = 0$ and $r = 1$ have the same face bubbles, and $r = 0, r = 1$ and $r = 2$ all have the same volume bubbles.

	r=0	r=1	r=2
d=1	Lagrange - DG	Hermite - Lagrange	Argyris - Hermite
d=2	Lagrange - BDM - DG	new, 2D Stenberg $H(\text{div})$ [37]	Falk-Neilan Stokes [19]
d=3	Lagrange - Nédélec - BDM - DG	new	new, 3D Stenberg $H(\text{div})$ [37]

Table 3: Families with $r = 0, 1, 2$.

	r=0	r=1	r=2
vertex	C^0	$C^1 - C^0$	$C^2 - C^1 - C^0$
edge	$C^0 - C^\tau$	$C^0 - C^\tau$	$C^1 - C^0$
face	$C^0 - C^\tau - C^n$	$C^0 - C^\tau - C^n$	$C^0 - C^\tau - C^n$

Table 4: Local continuity for 3D families: C^τ and C^n represent tangential and normal continuity.

The new elements will lead to smaller stiffness and mass matrices due to the partial regularity. More importantly, the new geometric decomposition and topological structure lead to nodal type basis.

Only complete polynomials were considered. It is known that incomplete polynomials P^- family can also be used to construct exact sequences, i.e. $d : P_p\Lambda^k \rightarrow P_{p-1}\Lambda^{k+1}$, $d : P_p\Lambda^k \rightarrow P_p^-\Lambda^{k+1}$, $d : P_p^-\Lambda^k \rightarrow P_{p-1}\Lambda^{k+1}$ and $d : P_p^-\Lambda^k \rightarrow P_p^-\Lambda^{k+1}$ all have the same kernel (c.f. [5] Lemma 3.8). Combining with the new exact sequences here, we have more opportunities, for example,

$$\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{1,p}\Lambda^0(\mathcal{T}_h^3) \xrightarrow{\text{grad}} \mathcal{P}_{1,p-1}\Lambda^1(\mathcal{T}_h^3) \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} P_{p-2}^-\Lambda^2(\mathcal{T}_h^3) \xrightarrow{\text{div}} P_{p-3}\Lambda^3(\mathcal{T}_h^3) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (6.1)$$

is also an exact sequence.

Dimension count for the local sequences holds for any space dimension d . Therefore the results in this paper are promising to be generalised to higher space dimensions, and also on other elements, e.g. tensor product and pyramid elements. From the perspective of local sequences, the results presented above could be considered as a nontrivial generalisation of the systematic constructions in Fu and Cockburn [16].

In 3D, the analogous discrete BGG construction is not a direct result from our families in this paper. Actually the local polynomials and local interpolations add a strong constraint in the construction of conforming finite elements. Therefore in the BGG construction, it seems desirable to relax these assumptions. Composite elements have been developed in Christiansen and Hu [14], which relaxed the assumption of local polynomial to allow piecewise polynomials. Another interesting approach could be nonconforming elements. A 2D nonconforming sequence of Morley - Crouzeix-Raviart - DG elements can be found in Brenner [12], and similar results also hold for Morley-Wang-Xu (MWX) family [32] in higher space dimensions.

Furthermore, we hope that the study in this paper could also shed some light on the nodal element discretisation for computational electromagnetism.

Acknowledgement

SHC is supported by the European Research Council through the FP7-IDEAS-ERC Starting Grant scheme, project 278011 STUCCOFIELDS.

JH is supported by the NSFC (projects 11625101, 11271035, 91430213, 11421101).

KH is supported by the China Scholarship Council (CSC), project 201506010013 and by the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) / ERC grant agreement 339643. He is grateful to Espen Sande and Professor Ragnar Winther for helpful discussions on BGG resolution, and Professor Jinchao Xu for comments. Part of the work was carried out during his visit at University of Oslo (UiO). He is grateful for the kind hospitality and support of UiO.

References

- [1] Arnold, D.N.: Differential complexes and numerical stability. arXiv preprint math/0212391 I (2002)
- [2] Arnold, D.N., Awanou, G., Winther, R.: Finite elements for symmetric tensors in three dimensions. *Mathematics of Computation* **77**(263), 1229–1251 (2008)
- [3] Arnold, D.N., Falk, R.S., Winther, R.: Differential complexes and stability of finite element methods I. the de Rham complex. In: *Compatible spatial discretizations*, vol. 142, pp. 24–46. Springer (2006)
- [4] Arnold, D.N., Falk, R.S., Winther, R.: Differential complexes and stability of finite element methods II: The elasticity complex. *Compatible spatial discretizations* pp. 47–67 (2006)
- [5] Arnold, D.N., Falk, R.S., Winther, R.: Finite element exterior calculus, homological techniques, and applications. *Acta Numerica* **15**, 1 (2006)
- [6] Arnold, D.N., Falk, R.S., Winther, R.: Mixed finite element methods for linear elasticity with weakly imposed symmetry. *Mathematics of Computation* **76**(260), 1699–1723 (2007)
- [7] Arnold, D.N., Falk, R.S., Winther, R.: Geometric decompositions and local bases for spaces of finite element differential forms. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering* **198**(21), 1660–1672 (2009)
- [8] Arnold, D.N., Logg, A.: Periodic table of the finite elements. *SIAM News* **47**(9) (2014)
- [9] Boffi, D., Brezzi, F., Fortin, M.: *Mixed finite element methods and applications*. Springer (2013)

- [10] Bonito, A., Guermond, J., Luddens, F.: H^1 -conforming approximation of the Maxwell equations in heterogeneous media with minimal regularity. *Math. Comp.* Submitted (2013)
- [11] Boyse, W.E., Lynch, D.R., Paulsen, K.D., Minerbo, G.N.: Nodal-based finite-element modeling of Maxwell's equations. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation* **40**(6), 642–651 (1992)
- [12] Brenner, S.C.: Forty Years of the Crouzeix-Raviart element. *Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations* **31**(2), 367–396 (2015)
- [13] Christiansen, S., Rapetti, F.: On high order finite element spaces of differential forms. *Mathematics of Computation* **85**(298), 517–548 (2016)
- [14] Christiansen, S.H., Hu, K.: Generalized Finite Element Systems for smooth differential forms and Stokes problem. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1605.08657* (2016)
- [15] Christiansen, S.H., Munthe-Kaas, H.Z., Owren, B.: Topics in structure-preserving discretization. *Acta Numerica* **20**, 1–119 (2011)
- [16] Cockburn, B., Fu, G.: A systematic construction of finite element commuting exact sequences. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1605.00132* (2016)
- [17] Costabel, M., Dauge, M.: Weighted regularization of Maxwell equations in polyhedral domains. *Numerische Mathematik* **93**(2), 239–277 (2002)
- [18] Duan, H.Y., Jia, F., Lin, P., Tan, R.C.: The local L^2 projected C^0 finite element method for Maxwell problem. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis* **47**(2), 1274–1303 (2009)
- [19] Falk, R.S., Neilan, M.: Stokes complexes and the construction of stable finite elements with pointwise mass conservation. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis* **51**(2), 1308–1326 (2013)
- [20] Falk, R.S., Winther, R.: Local bounded cochain projections. *Mathematics of Computation* **83**(290), 2631–2656 (2014)
- [21] Falk, R.S., Winther, R.: The bubble transform: A new tool for analysis of finite element methods. *Foundations of Computational Mathematics* **16**(1), 297–328 (2016)
- [22] Guzmán, J., Neilan, M.: Conforming and divergence-free Stokes elements in three dimensions. *IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis* pp. 1–18 (2013). DOI 10.1093/imanum/drnxxx
- [23] Hiptmair, R.: Higher order whitney forms. *Progress in Electromagnetics Research* **32**, 271–299 (2001)
- [24] Hiptmair, R.: Finite elements in computational electromagnetism. *Acta Numerica* **11**(July 2003), 237–339 (2002)
- [25] Hiptmair, R., Xu, J.: Nodal auxiliary space preconditioning in $\mathbf{H}(\text{curl})$ and $\mathbf{H}(\text{div})$ spaces. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **45**(6), 2483–2509 (electronic) (2007)
- [26] Hu, J.: Finite element approximations of symmetric tensors on simplicial grids in $\mathbb{R}^n$: the higher order case. *Journal of Computational Mathematics* **33**, 283–296 (2015)
- [27] Hu, J., Zhang, S.: A family of conforming mixed finite elements for linear elasticity on triangular grids. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1406.7457* (2014)
- [28] Hu, J., Zhang, S.: A family of symmetric mixed finite elements for linear elasticity on tetrahedral grids. *Science China Mathematics* **58**(2), 297–307 (2015)
- [29] Hu, J., Zhang, S.: Finite element approximations of symmetric tensors on simplicial grids in $\mathbb{R}^n$: the lower order case. *Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences* (2016)
- [30] Karniadakis, G., Sherwin, S.: *Spectral/hp element methods for computational fluid dynamics*. Oxford University Press (2013)
- [31] Mardal, K.A., Tai, X.C., Winther, R.: A robust finite element method for Darcy–Stokes flow. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis* **40**(5), 1605–1631 (2002)
- [32] Ming, W., Xu, J.: The Morley element for fourth order elliptic equations in any dimensions. *Numerische Mathematik* **103**(1), 155–169 (2006)
- [33] Neilan, M.: Discrete and conforming smooth de Rham complexes in three dimensions. *Mathe-*

- mathematics of Computation **84**(295), 23 pages (2015)
- [34] Saunders, D.J.: The geometry of jet bundles, vol. 142. Cambridge University Press (1989)
 - [35] Schöberl, J., Zaglmayr, S.: High order Nédélec elements with local complete sequence properties. COMPEL-The international journal for computation and mathematics in electrical and electronic engineering **24**(2), 374–384 (2005)
 - [36] Scott, L.R., Vogelius, M.: Norm estimates for a maximal right inverse of the divergence operator in spaces of piecewise polynomials. Mathematical Modelling And Numerical Analysis **19**(1), 111–143 (1985)
 - [37] Stenberg, R.: A nonstandard mixed finite element family. Numerische Mathematik **115**(1), 131–139 (2010)
 - [38] Tai, X.C., Winther, R.: A discrete de Rham complex with enhanced smoothness. Calcolo **43**(4), 287–306 (2006)
 - [39] Zaglmayr, S.: High order finite element methods for electromagnetic field computation. na (2006)